Deciphering Weather Forecasts for Making IPM Decisions



Jeff Andresen Dept. of Geography, Environment, and Spatial Sciences Michigan State University

Idealized Boundary Layer Cross-Section



(Stull, 1992)

Basic Concepts of Weather Forecasting

Primitive Equations

The primitive equations are a set of nonlinear differential equations that are used to approximate global atmospheric flow and are used in most atmospheric models:

1)Conservation of momentum

2)Continuity

 $\frac{D\vec{v}}{Dt} = \vec{g} - \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p - 2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{v} - \vec{D}.$

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot \vec{\nu} = 0,$$

3)Thermal energy

$$\rho c_v \frac{DT}{Dt} = -p \nabla \cdot \vec{v} - \nabla \cdot \vec{F} + k \nabla^2 T + \rho \dot{q},$$

Numerical Weather Prediction



Characterizing the Atmosphere at Time 0 Surface Data







Gridded Reanalysis Datasets

- Real Time Mesoscale Analysis (RTMA)
 - Generated at the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), a division of the National Weather Service (NWS)
 - First guess (i.e., background): 1-hr forecast from
 - Rapid Update Cycle (RUC) / Rapid Refresh (RAP) models
 - Large number of observations assimilated (ASOS*, mesonet, satellite wind, etc.)
 - Includes precipitation analysis (Stage II)
 - Grid spacing: 2.5 km (5 km recently phased out)
 - Temporal frequency: hourly

* Automated Surface Observing System



'Off-Site' Weather Data

- Uses objective analyses to estimate variable at desired site(s)
- May offer cost effective alternative to on-site instruments
- Effectiveness may be limited by sensitivity of management aplication used

New Combined Enviro-weather/Gridded Data Products

Cumulative Growing Degree-Days (50F) March 1 -May 30, 2016



Limitations of Reanalyses and Gridded Data Sets

 "Reanalyses inherit the errors of their constituent observing systems, though they have the advantage of seeking a degree of consensus among various observing systems through the constraints of model physics." [CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Product 1.1]

Moral: while they may be spatially and temporally continuous, be very cautious in their application as they may not contain any more base information than what you would have from the original data series.

Characterizing the Atmosphere at Time 0 Radiosonde Basics





The NWS Radiosonde Network 2014



Radiosonde Data



Limitations to Numerical Forecast Lead Time Length

- Observation systems
- Numerical models (understanding of the atmosphere)
- Computing power

Weather Prediction and Chaotic Dynamics



Ensemble Forecasts



GFS 12 hour forecast ensemble

GFS 384 hour forecast ensemble

Superensemble Forecasts



Current Weather Forecast Technologies

Forecast Lead Times and Approaches



Traditional NWS Forecast Format

MIZ067-110815-INGHAM-INCLUDING THE CITY OF ... LANSING 339 PM EDT MON OCT 10 2016 .TONIGHT ... PARTLY CLOUDY. LOWS IN THE UPPER 40S. SOUTH WINDS 5 TO 10 MPH. .TUESDAY ... PARTLY SUNNY. HIGHS IN THE UPPER 60S. SOUTH WINDS AROUND 10 MPH. .TUESDAY NIGHT ... PARTLY CLOUDY. LOWS IN THE LOWER 50S. SOUTH WINDS AROUND 10 MPH. .WEDNESDAY ... MOSTLY SUNNY UNTIL MIDDAY ... THEN SHOWERS AND THUNDERSTORMS LIKELY IN THE AFTERNOON. HIGHS IN THE LOWER 70S. SOUTHWEST WINDS 10 TO 20 MPH. CHANCE OF RAIN 60 PERCENT. .WEDNESDAY NIGHT ... SHOWERS AND THUNDERSTORMS IN THE EVENING ... THEN A CHANCE OF RAIN SHOWERS OVERNIGHT. LOWS IN THE UPPER 40S. SOUTHWEST WINDS AROUND 15 MPH BECOMING NORTHWEST OVERNIGHT. CHANCE OF RAIN 90 PERCENT. .THURSDAY ... MOSTLY SUNNY. HIGHS IN THE MID 50S. .THURSDAY NIGHT ... MOSTLY CLEAR. AREAS OF FROST. LOWS IN THE UPPER 30S. .FRIDAY...SUNNY. HIGHS IN THE UPPER 50S. FRIDAY NIGHT ... MOSTLY CLEAR, LOWS IN THE MID 40S. .SATURDAY...MOSTLY SUNNY. HIGHS IN THE MID 60S. .SATURDAY NIGHT ... PARTLY CLOUDY WITH A 40 PERCENT CHANCE OF RAIN SHOWERS. LOWS IN THE MID 50S. .SUNDAY ... PARTLY SUNNY WITH A 40 PERCENT CHANCE OF RAIN SHOWERS. HIGHS IN THE UPPER 60S. .SUNDAY NIGHT ... PARTLY CLOUDY. LOWS IN THE LOWER 50S. MONDAY ... MOSTLY SUNNY UNTIL MIDDAY THEN BECOMING PARTLY SUNNY. HIGHS IN THE UPPER 60S.

NWS Detailed Short Term Guidance



Temperature: 44 °F Dewpoint: 36 °F Wind Chill: 37 °F Surface Wind: SW 16G24mph Sky Cover (%): 95% Precipitation Potential (%): 59% Relative Humidity (%): 73% Rain: Likely (60%-70%) Thunder: <10% Snow: <10% Freezing Rain: <10% Sleet: <10%

Current National Digital Forecast Data



New NWS Graphical Forecast Format (March 2017)



CPC Medium Range Outlooks



6 to 10 Day Outlooks Valid: October 08 2014 to October 12 2014 Updated: 02 Oct 2014 Click below for information about how to read 6-10 day outlook maps Temperature Precipitation Click below for archives of past outlooks (data & graphics), historical analogs to today's forecast, and other formats of the 6-10 day outlooks Archives Analogs Lines-Only Format GIS Data Temperature

Y OUTLOOK TURE PROBABILITY OCT 2014 OCT 08 - 12. 2014 339 robability of Below Normal Probability of Above Precipitation 6-10 DAY OUTLOOK PRECIPITATION PROBABILITY HADE 2 OCT 2014 VALID OCT 08 - 12. 2014

Probability of About





Expected Forecast Skill

Forecast Skill Generalities

- The longer the forecast lead time, the less the expected skill of the outlook
- For most of the mid-latitudes, there is a discernible seasonality of the forecast products. In the USA, most skillful outlooks are generally associated with the winter season.
- Expected forecast skill also depends on the variable being forecast. Best skill is with temperature. Skill with precipitation and wind forecasts is significantly less.

Weather forecast accuracy details for Lansing, Michigan

These are the one- to three-day out accuracy percentages for high temperature, low temperature, icon forecast precipitation (both rain and snow), and text forecast precipitation (both rain and snow). Temperature accuracy is the percentage of forecasts within three degrees. Precipitation accuracy is the percentage of correct forecasts. The forecasts are collected in the evening.

Click on the headers to sort by that column.

	-				
Provider	High Temp	Low Temp	Icon Precip	Text Precip	Overall
The Weather Channel	84.44%	88.89%	70.00%	70.00%	78.33%
Weather Underground	85.56%	86.67%	70.00%	70.00%	78.06%
Dark Sky (forecast.io)	85.56%	74.44%	72.22%	72.22%	76.11%
MeteoGroup	76.67%	75.56%	75.56%	75.56%	75.83%
AccuWeather	79.31%	74.71%	72.41%	75.86%	75.57%
Foreca	80.00%	75.56%	72.22%	72.22%	75.00%
NWS Digital Forecast	83.33%	70.00%	67.78%	67.78%	72.22%
World Weather Online	56.82%	33.33%	68.18%	68.18%	56.63%
Persistence	44.83%	36.78%	59.77%	59.77%	50.29%

Weather Forecast Accuracy Data Last Month

Weather Forecast Accuracy Data Last Year

Provider	High Temp	Low Temp	Icon Precip	Text Precip	Overall
Weather Underground	73.60%	72.35%	82.18%	82.18%	77.58%
The Weather Channel	73.80%	72.54%	81.89%	81.89%	77.53%
AccuWeather	72.17%	73.14%	79.90%	81.74%	76.74%
MeteoGroup	71.58%	75.43%	79.00%	79.00%	76.25%
Foreca	67.63%	68.89%	78.36%	78.36%	73.31%
Dark Sky (forecast.io)	65.13%	64.07%	78.52%	78.52%	71.56%
NWS Digital Forecast	67.39%	66.93%	70.83%	70.83%	68.99%
World Weather Online	63.26%	33.24%	70.76%	70.76%	59.50%
Persistence	28.67%	22.65%	53.70%	53.70%	39.68%

WPC Medium Range RMS Errors Maximum Temperatures



NDFD: Growing Degree Days*

00 UTC forecast

*Baskerville-Emin method



Applications of MOS Guidance

NO APPLY: 70% or greater chance of 0.5"+ in 24-hour period, or any % chance of 1"+ in 24-hour period

KLAN					
	MOS 2-year-total	MOS Winter	MOS Spring	MOS Summer	<u>MOS Autumn</u>
HIT (YES APPLY)	652	171	161	159	161
HIT (NO APPLY)	19	5	5	7	2
FALSE ALARM	14	0	3	3	8
MISS	21	0	11	6	4
KGRR					
	MOS 2-year-total	MOS Winter	MOS Spring	MOS Summer	<u>MOS Autumn</u>
HIT (YES APPLY)	649	167	164	157	161
HIT (NO APPLY)	18	3	5	5	5
FALSE ALARM	12	1	2	4	5
MISS	28	4	10	11	3
KDTW					
	MOS 2-year-total	MOS Winter	<u>MOS Spring</u>	MOS Summer	<u>MOS Autumn</u>
HIT (YES APPLY)	633	164	166	148	155
HIT (NO APPLY)	14	3	2	3	6
FALSE ALARM	13	1	5	4	3
MISS	28	6	6	9	7
KTVC					
	MOS 2-year-total	MOS Winter	<u>MOS Spring</u>	MOS Summer	<u>MOS Autumn</u>
HIT (YES APPLY)	662	172	167	163	160
HIT (NO APPLY)	10	2	5	0	3
FALSE ALARM	5	0	1	2	2
MISS	25	1	6	9	9
KAPN					
	MOS 2-year-total	MOS Winter	MOS Spring	MOS Summer	MOS Autumn
HIT (YES APPLY)	656	168	167	157	164
HIT (NO APPLY)	13	1	6	4	2
FALSE ALARM	8	0	5	2	1
MISS	21	3	2	9	7

Forecasting Precipitation Amounts



(From Charba et al, 2003)

Forecast Skill Scores Have Increased Over Time



Figure 12. Evolution of the discrete ranked probability skill score (RPSS) of (a) 2 m temperature and (b) precipitation weekly mean anomalies over the Northern Extratropics (north of 30°N) since 2002. Only land points have been scored. The RPSS has been computed from terciles and for all the ECMWF reforecasts covering all seasons. The red line shows the RPSS of days 12–18, the brown line represents the RPSS of days 19–25 and the green line the RPSS of days 26–32.

Remember to Consider Microclimate!





Summary

- Gridded reanalysis data may offer a cost effective option to on site observations. However, the applicability of the data depends on the sensitivity of the application to errors.
- Forecast skill decreases with increasing lead time. Expected skill may vary by the type of variable forecast, season, and region.
- Local microclimates can complicate weatherdependent decisions. Microclimates are most likely in relatively clear, calm conditions.