Table 1.

	June 25, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	14.5	14.3	12.4	14.5	
ACA 1820	18.9	15.9	13.5	15.0	
Check	15.9	14.4	13.1	15.1	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 2.

	June 29, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	13.1	9.9	11.2	12.7	
ACA 1820	17.4	14.1	12.0	13.5	
Check	16.2	9.9	11.7	13.5	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 3.

	July 6, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	12.4	10.7	12.2	13.4	
ACA 1820	17.6	12.4	13.1	14.1	
Check	13.7	10.9	13.8	14.6	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	

Table 4.

	July 9, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	10.8	10.3	9.5	12.3	
ACA 1820	18.7	10.9	10.2	11.7	
Check	9.0	9.0	8.5	13.4	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 5.

	July 13, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	12.9	11.4	11.9	12.9	
ACA 1820	16.1	14.4	11.8	14.1	
Check	14.0	12.9	11.3	14.7	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 6.

	July 20, 2007	July 20, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
ACA 2634	6.3	4.6	6.2	6.4		
ACA 1820	14.4	6.8	5.9	6.8		
Check	8.4	4.6	6.6	7.6		
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS		

m 1 '	1	
Tab		1
1 aD	IL.	

	July 27, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	12.1	10.8 a	10.8 a	12.1	
ACA 1820	17.4	11.6 a	12.5 a	13.5	
Check	10.5	6.4 b	5.7 b	10.9	
Probability	NS*	0.05**	0.00	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

**Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 8.

June 20, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
ACA 2634	4.0	4.0 b	4.0		
ACA 1820	3.7	4.0 b	3.7		
Check	4.7	5.0 a	3.7		
Probability	NS*	0.00**	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

**Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 9.

June 26, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots.					
Wetting Agent	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
ACA 2634	4.0 b	4.3	4.0		
ACA 1820	4.0 b	4.3	3.7		
Check	5.0 a	4.3	4.0		
Probability	0.0**	NS*	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

**Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 10.

July 24, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
ACA 2634	5.0	5.0	4.8		
ACA 1820	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Check	5.0	5.0	4.7		
Probability	NS*	NS	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 11.

July 26, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
ACA 2634	5.0	4.7	4.0		
ACA 1820	5.0	4.7	4.7		
Check	5.0	5.0	4.3		
Probability	NS*	NS	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 12.

Aug 7, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
ACA 2634	3.0 b	2.7	3.7 b		
ACA 1820	2.3 b	3.0	3.3 b		
Check	5.0 a	5.0	5.0 a		
Probability	0.00**	NS*	0.05		

Table 13.

Aug 10, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	North	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	3.7	3.3 b	3.0	3.0	
ACA 1820	4.0	3.0 b	2.7	3.3	
Check	4.7	4.3 a	4.7	4.3	
Probability	NS*	0.02**	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table14.

Aug 17, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots				
Wetting Agent	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	4.3 ab	3.7	3.3	
ACA 1820	4.0 b	4.0	3.7	
Check	5.0 a	5.0	4.3	
Probability	0.05**	NS*	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 15.

Aug 21, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	2.7 b	2.7 b	3.5 b	3.0	
ACA 1820	2.7 b	3.0 b	3.7 b	3.7	
Check	5.0 a	5.0 a	5.0 a	4.7	
Probability	0.00**	0.00	0.05	NS*	

Table 16.

Aug 29, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	3.8 b	4.2	4.0 b	4.0	
ACA 1820	3.7 b	4.2	4.0 b	4.2	
Check	5.0 a	5.0	5.0 a	5.0	
Probability	0.01**	NS*	0.02	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 17.

Sep 7, 2007 LDS rating scale 1-5 1=excellent 5=horrible Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth from the 2NS sand plots					
Wetting Agent	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
ACA 2634	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	
ACA 1820	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	
Check	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	

Ta	L 1	~	1	0
1 a	υı	C.	1	ο.

	June 25, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	26	19 b	25	24		
80% Sand 20% Peat	30	23 a	26	23		
80% Sand 20% Soil	29	22 a	24	22		
Probability	NS*	0.02**	NS	NS		
Wetting Agent						
ACA 2634	29	22	25	24		
ACA 1820	28	21	24	23		
Control	28	21	26	23		
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

**Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

-		4.0	
' L'o	hla	10	
14	ble	19	

June 29, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	25	18 b	25	24	
80% Sand 20% Peat	29	26 a	26	24	
80% Sand 20% Soil	29	25 a	24	24	
Probability	NS*	0.00**	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	28	23	24	24	
ACA 1820	27	22	24	24	
Control	28	24	25	25	
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Т	abl	le	2().
1	aU	le.	21	J.

July 6, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	27	19 b	24	23	
80% Sand 20% Peat	30	24 a	26	24	
80% Sand 20% Soil	29	22 ab	23	23	
Probability	NS*	0.00**	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	29	22	24	23	
ACA 1820	28	21	24	23	
Control	28	22	25	24	
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 21.

July 9, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	26	16	22	23	
80% Sand 20% Peat	29	22	24	22	
80% Sand 20% Soil	28	20	22	21	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	28	19	22	22	
ACA 1820	27	19	23	22	
Control	28	20	23	22	
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Ta	bl	e	22.

July 13, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	25	17	22	23	
80% Sand 20% Peat	27	20	23	22	
80% Sand 20% Soil	27	20	20	21	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	27	19	21	22	
ACA 1820	25	19	21	21	
Control	26	19	23	23	
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 23.

July 20, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	22	11 b	20	19 a	
80% Sand 20% Peat	26	17 a	22	19 a	
80% Sand 20% Soil	25	14 a	19	17 b	
Probability	NS*	0.01**	NS	0.02	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	25	14	20	18	
ACA 1820	23	13	19	18	
Control	25	14	21	19	
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table	24.
-------	-----

July 27, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth				
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat
100% Sand	24	14	19	21
80% Sand 20% Peat	26	17	21	20
80% Sand 20% Soil	26	15	18	19
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	26	16 a	19	20
ACA 1820	25	16 a	19	20
Control	25	14 b	19	20
Probability	NS	0.03**	NS	NS

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 25.

August 3, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth				
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat
100% Sand	19	4	8	11
80% Sand 20% Peat	22	6	15	13
80% Sand 20% Soil	22	7	12	13
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	21	5.4 b	11	12
ACA 1820	20	6.4 a	11	13
Control	22	5.1 b	12	11
Probability	NS	0.04**	NS	NS

Table 26.

August 8, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth				
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat
100% Sand	21	11	15	17
80% Sand 20% Peat	24	14	19	18
80% Sand 20% Soil	25	11	16	17
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	24	14	17	18
ACA 1820	23	14	17	17
Control	22	12	16	17
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

Table 27.

August 10, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth				
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat
100% Sand	24	15	17	19
80% Sand 20% Peat	28	15	20	19
80% Sand 20% Soil	28	17	18	19
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	NS
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	27	17 a	18	21
ACA 1820	26	17 a	19	19
Control	27	13 b	19	18
Probability	NS	0.01**	NS	NS

Table 28.

	August 13, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	19	3 b	8 b	13		
80% Sand 20% Peat	23	8 a	15 a	15		
80% Sand 20% Soil	22	8 a	13 ab	13		
Probability	NS*	0.03**	0.04	NS		
Wetting Agent						
ACA 2634	22	7	12	14		
ACA 1820	21	7	12	13		
Control	22	5	12	14		
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 29.

August 14, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	16 b	2	7	12	
80% Sand 20% Peat	23 a	7	14	14	
80% Sand 20% Soil	21 ab	7	11	13	
Probability	0.04**	NS*	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	20	6	11	13	
ACA 1820	19	5	12	13	
Control	20	4	11	13	
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 30.

	August 15, 2007 TDR Moisture Readings at a 3-inch depth					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	16 b	1	5 b	11		
80% Sand 20% Peat	23 a	5	12 a	11		
80% Sand 20% Soil	22 a	5	9 ab	10		
Probability	0.05	NS	0.01	NS		
Wetting Agent						
ACA 2634	21	4	8	11		
ACA 1820	20	4	10	10		
Control	20	3	9	11		
Probability	NS	NS	NS	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 31.

June 20, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor				
Soil Type	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	2.8	2.4	2.8	
80% Sand 20% Peat	2.3	2.5	2.8	
80% Sand 20% Soil	2.7	2.8	3.4	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	2.7	2.7 a	3.167	
ACA 1820	2.4	2.8 a	3.083	
Control	2.6	2.3 b	2.750	
Probability	NS	0.03**	NS	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level.

**Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 32.

June 26, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	3.0 ab	3.0	2.7		
80% Sand 20% Peat	2.5 b	3.0	2.6		
80% Sand 20% Soil	3.6 a	3.2	3.2		
Probability	0.01**	NS*	NS		
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	3.0	3.2	3.0		
ACA 1820	3.2	3.2	2.8		
Control	2.2	2.8	2.7		
Probability	NS	NS	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 33.

July 2, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	3.9 a	3.6 a	3.0	3.0	
80% Sand 20% Peat	2.6 b	2.3 b	2.9	2.9	
80% Sand 20% Soil	2.5 b	2.6 b	2.6	2.8	
Probability	0.00**	0.00	NS*	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	2.8 b	2.4 b	3.0	2.8	
ACA 1820	2.8 b	2.8 b	2.9	2.8	
Control	3.4 a	3.3 a	2.6	3.0	
Probability	0.04	0.00	NS	NS	

Table 34.

July 24, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	4.8 a	3.3	3.5		
80% Sand 20% Peat	3.8 c	3.5	3.5		
80% Sand 20% Soil	4.3 b	3.8	3.7		
Probability	0.00**	NS*	NS		
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	4.3 ab	3.8 a	3.1		
ACA 1820	4.5 a	3.6 a	3.7		
Control	4.0 b	3.2 b	3.3		
Probability	0.05	0.00	NS		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 35.

July 26, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	3.8 a	2.5	2.6		
80% Sand 20% Peat	1.6 b	2.8	2.8		
80% Sand 20% Soil	2.5 b	2.7	2.8		
Probability	0.00**	NS*	NS		
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	2.6	3.0 a	2.9		
ACA 1820	3.0	2.9 a	2.8		
Control	2.4	2.0 b	2.5		
Probability	NS	0.00	NS		

Table 36.

August 7, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor				
Soil Type	North Slope***	Crown	South Slope	
100% Sand	2.5 a	3.0 a	2.6	
80% Sand 20% Peat	1.3 b	1.4 b	1.3	
80% Sand 20% Soil	1.3 b	1.5 b	1.2	
Probability	0.01**	0.00	NS*	
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	1.3 b	1.5 b	1.3 b	
ACA 1820	1.2 b	1.4 b	1.3 b	
Control	2.6 a	3.0 a	2.3 a	
Probability	0.00	0.00	0.00	

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test. ***Two-way interaction, data presented in Table 42.

Table 37.

August 10, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor				
Soil Type	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	2.2	2.9	2.7	
80% Sand 20% Peat	2.1	2.4	2.5	
80% Sand 20% Soil	2.5	2.6	2.6	
Probability	NS*	NS	NS	
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	2.3 b	2.7	2.7	
ACA 1820	2.3 b	2.6	2.5	
Control	3.0 a	2.6	2.5	
Probability	0.04**	NS	NS	

Table 38.

August 17, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	4.0 a	3.2	2.8		
80% Sand 20% Peat	2.5 b	2.2	2.3		
80% Sand 20% Soil	2.5 b	2.2	2.3		
Probability	0.01**	NS*	NS		
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	2.7 b	2.5	2.2 b		
ACA 1820	2.8 b	2.5	2.6 ab		
Control	3.5 a	2.5	2.7 a		
Probability	0.00	NS	0.05		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 39.

August 21, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor				
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat
100% Sand	3.2 a	3.8	3.5 a	2.0
80% Sand 20% Peat	1.5 b	2.4	1.8 b	1.8
80% Sand 20% Soil	1.3 b	2.2	1.7 b	1.9
Probability	0.00**	NS*	0.01	NS
Wetting Agent				
ACA 2634	1.6 b	2.4 b	2.0 b	1.6 b
ACA 1820	1.6 b	2.3 b	1.9 b	1.5 b
Control	2.9 a	3.6 a	3.1 a	2.5 a
Probability	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02

Table 40.

August 29, 200	August 29, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	North Slope***	Crown	South Slope	South Flat		
100% Sand	3.0 a	4.0	2.5	1.7		
80% Sand 20% Peat	1.1 b	2.9	1.1	1.9		
80% Sand 20% Soil	1.1 b	2.9	1.1	1.6		
Probability	0.00	NS	NS	NS		
Wetting Agent						
ACA 2634	1.8 b	2.8 b	1.3 b	1.5 b		
ACA 1820	1.5 b	3.0 b	1.4 b	1.3 b		
Control	2.3 a	3.9 a	2.0 a	2.3 a		
Probability	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02		

* NS denotes the data is not significant at the 5% level. **Means in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test. ***Two-way interaction, data presented in Table 44.

September 7, 2007 Localized Dry Spot Ratings 1 = Excellent; 2 = Very Good; 3 = Good; 4 = Fair; 5 = Poor					
Soil Type	North Slope	Crown	South Slope	South Flat	
100% Sand	4.8 a	5.0	4.3 a	2.6	
80% Sand 20% Peat	1.8 b	3.9	1.5 b	2.0	
80% Sand 20% Soil	2.0 b	4.1	1.6 b	1.9	
Probability	0.00**	NS*	0.00	NS	
Wetting Agent					
ACA 2634	2.8	4.2	2.3 b	2.1	
ACA 1820	2.8	4.3	2.2 b	2.1	
Control	2.0	4.5	2.9 a	2.3	
Probability	NS	NS	0.03	NS	

Table 11

Table 42.

August 7 2007, North slope LDS root zone/surfactant interaction							
Soil	ACA 2634	ACA 2634 ACA 1820 Check					
Sand	1.8 bc	1.5 b	4.1 a				
Sand/Peat	1.0 c	1.0 c	1.8 c				
Sand/Soil	1.0 c 1.0 c 2.0 c						
Probability	0.00*						

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.

Table 43.

August 29 2007, North slope LDS root zone/surfactant interaction			
Soil	ACA 2634	ACA 1820	Check
Sand	2.4 b	2.3 b	4.4 a
Sand/Peat	1.0 c	1.0 c	1.4 c
Sand/Soil	1.0 c	1.1 c	1.1 c
Probability	0.00*		

*Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level using the LSD mean separation test.